



# SESSION 1

## How to assess climate impacts of forest-based bioenergy?

Attributional Modelling  
VS  
Consequential Modelling

Alessandro Agostini

JRC-IET-Petten

[Alessandro.agostini@ec.europa.eu](mailto:Alessandro.agostini@ec.europa.eu)



## Attributional modelling

The attributional inventory modelling principle depicts the potential environmental impacts that can be attributed to a system (e.g. a product) over its life cycle, i.e. upstream along the supply-chain and downstream following the system's use and end-of-life. The system is embedded into a static technosphere.

## Consequential modeling

The consequential inventory modelling aims at identifying the consequences that a decision in the foreground system has for other processes and systems of the economy. The system interacts with the markets and the changes that an additional demand for the analysed system is expected to have in a dynamic technosphere that is reacting to this additional demand are depicted.

# Attributional approach



For **accounting**: a purely descriptive documentation of the potential environmental impacts of the system under analysis (e.g. a product, sector, or country).

For **micro-scale decision support**: the decisions, actions or products analysed are assumed to have limited or no structural consequences outside the decision-context, i.e. they are supposed not to change available production capacity

**How do you go to the beach for an ice-cream???**



**E-Bike**      9 gCO<sub>2</sub>/km

EU mix electricity  
No infrastructures



**Car**      229 gCO<sub>2</sub>/km

EU fuel mix  
No infrastructures

**E-Bike GHG savings = 220 gCO<sub>2</sub>/km**

# Consequential approach



**Decision support at strategic level** : Decisions aimed at causing structural consequences outside the decision-context, (i.e. they are supposed to change available production capacity). (e.g. raw materials strategies, technology scenarios, policy options).

## Expected impacts of a policy target of 1 M E-Bikes?

**Reference scenario**



**E-Bike 22 Gt CO2**  
1000 km/y  
(9 WTW+ 13 production and disposal)

---

**Competition for raw materials**



**Competition for lithium batteries**  
Higher cost Li = Less electric cars that may actually replace fossil fueled cars

iLUC (indirect Lithium Use Change)

**E-Bike 27 Gt CO2**  
(9 WTW+ 13 construction and disposal + 5 iLUC)

---

**Reduced consumption of other goods**  
Higher taxation = Lower income

**E-Bike 26 gCO2/km**  
(9 WTW+ 13 prod. +5 iLUC -1 LowCons.)

**Counterfactual baseline scenario**



**Car 271 Gt CO2**      5 %  
(229 WTW + 42 constr)



**Bike 5 Gt CO2**      75 %  
Only construction and disposal



**Bus 101 Gt CO2**      3 %  
6 construction and disposal + 95 WTW



**Walking 0 Gt CO2**      7 %

**Weighted average 23 gCO2/km**

---



**Couch 0 Gt CO2**      10 %  
**Rebound effect**  
Weighted average 20

---



**Food consumption**  
Bike 21  
(16 food + 5 production)  
Weighted average 35

**E-Bike GHG savings = 9 Gt CO2**



# Conclusions

**Results of Attributional LCAs should not be used to support the planning of policies that are aimed to cause structural changes in the economy, a consequential approach is needed**

## References:

European Commission - Joint Research Centre - Institute for Environment and Sustainability: International Reference Life Cycle Data System (ILCD) Handbook - General guide for Life Cycle Assessment - Detailed guidance. First edition March 2010. EUR 24708 EN. Luxembourg. Publications Office of the European Union; 2010

European Cyclists' Federation, Quantifying CO2 savings of cycling, [http://www.ecf.com/wp-content/uploads/ECF\\_BROCHURE\\_EN\\_planche.pdf](http://www.ecf.com/wp-content/uploads/ECF_BROCHURE_EN_planche.pdf)



# QUESTIONS TO BE ADDRESSED

What methodologies can be applied in assessing climate effects of forest-based bioenergy? **LCA**

What methods are suitable for different purposes (e.g. national GHG inventory, product environmental labelling, sustainability certification, emissions trading, policy planning, policy implementation...)? **ALCA: accounting or microscale, CLCA: policy planning)**

How can ALCA/CLCA be used to assess forest-based bioenergy, and for what purposes? **Inventory compilation, environmental impacts evaluation)**

What is the appropriate system boundary for each purpose? **Encompass all relevant flows**

Should we deal explicitly with uncertainty? **Possibly yes**

What metrics can be used to quantify climate effects of bioenergy? **GWPs?**

What reference system/baseline/counterfactual should be considered? **Depends on the goal of the analysis**

Should the forest reference system include expectations of management responses, and/or natural regeneration, with/without natural disturbance? **Yes**

At what scale should the analysis be applied (spatial and temporal)? (Stand, product, combustion facility, policy/program, forest estate, region, nation, globe; product life cycle, scheme life?) **Depends on the goal of the analysis**